and regular as that given by Roberts at p. 350 of *Monthly Notices*, in the first of the papers previously referred to. The brightness undoubtedly varies in different periods, and I think the general curve is subject to minor irregularities, as in the case of *U Orionis*.

Observations of Nebulæ. By Herbert A. Howe.

(Communicated by the Secretaries.)

The following notes are in continuation of those published in the April, 1898, number of the Monthly Notices, and were made in the course of my observations upon nebulæ, during the first six months of 1898. The numbers, as previously, are the current ones of the N.G.C., except those which are enclosed in brackets, which refer to Dreyer's Index Catalogue in vol. li. of the Memoirs of the R.A.S. When, instead of a number, the name Swift is given, reference is made to objects recently discovered at the Lowe Observatory, found in lists published from time to time in various periodicals, chiefly in the Monthly Notices.

As the positions of so many of the nebulæ in my working list are erroneous, because of the inaccurate places given by the discoverers, I have striven not to add new errors by mistakes of my own, and have therefore determined the position of each comparison star twice, once by means of the circles of the instrument, and a sidereal watch, and a second time by connecting it with some catalogue star by chronographic and micrometric measures. There are two checks against gross errors in the micrometric measurements between each nebula and its comparison star. These are, firstly, independent estimates of Δa and $\Delta \delta$ by the help of the known intervals between the micrometer wires, and, secondly, sketches of the field of view. In a few cases a larger telescope, or a keener eye, may be needed to settle doubtful All positions are referred to the mean equinox of points. 1900.0.

The new Bruce micrometer, to which reference was made in my former communication, has now been in use for six months, and has proven itself to be a most admirable instrument. It has a set of eleven wires in R.A., and nine in declination, the latter spanning a space of 30'; the sets can be illuminated separately or simultaneously, with any desired intensity. The micrometer screw has movable heads, so that three bisections can be made before the readings are taken. The box can also be revolved just 90°, without reading the position-circle.

(195) and (196). These two nebulæ were not at all difficult to see, and I found no others in their neighbourhood. Hence I assume the ones which I observed to be those found by

Downloaded from http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/ at Serials Section, Dixson Library on June 7, 2015

Swift, though their relative positions are not as given by him. Their positions are :—

The position is $2^h 45^m 35^s$, $-2^{\circ} 8' \cdot 8$. 1121.

Swift described this as "m Ens." I estimated the 1337.

elongation to be at 135°.

I measured (345), and its place agrees with that given by Dreyer within 1'. But in the place of (346), which was presumably discovered by Professor Stone at the same time, I saw nothing but faint stars. The seeing was excellent, and Professor Stone described (346) as brighter than (345).

1489. The position is 3^h 53^m 11^s, -19° 30′ 3.

1518. The elongation is at 200°. The position is 4^h 2^m 29^s,

- -21° 26'.6.

 1561-5. I could not see all the nebulæ in this group, but found a new one near by. Since the positions of these nebulæ are poor, a large telescope may well give attention to them.
- In the place given for this I found only small stars. 1591, near by, was observed.

1614. The position is $4^{\text{h}} 29^{\text{m}} 11^{\text{s}}$, $-8^{\circ} 47'3$.

1619. In the place given for this I saw only stars of mags.

- 13-14. Its neighbour, 1627, was readily seen.
 1689. Swift called this "pB." I searched for it on two
 nights without success. Probably there was an error of just 5^m in its R.A., and it is identical with 1667, which has the same declination.
- The N.G.C. description is "vF, pL, 2 B st v nr." My description was "F, pS, R, with nucleus of mag. 13.5." It is in line between two stars of mags. 8.5 and 9 respectively, the former preceding it 20s±, on nearly the same parallel, and the latter following it 4s.

1738. The position is 4^{h} 57^{m} 22^{s} , -18° $18' \cdot 1$.

1739. The position is 4^{h} 57^{m} 23^{s} , -18° $18' \cdot 7$.

This is very large, faint, and ill defined. But it contains a nuclear point of mag. 12.8. The position is

 $4^{\rm h}$ 55^m 52^s, -26° 10'.4.

1781. On two nights I was unable to find this, though I measured 1794, which is similar in description. As the N.G.C. R.A. of 1781 differs from my R.A. for 1794 by 3^m, and the declinations of the two objects differ by less than 1', it is probable that H. made an error of 3^m in the place of 1781.

1794. The position is $5^h 3^m 31^s$, $-18^\circ 19' \cdot 2$.

2054. I saw only three small stars. The 9 mag. star which Bond said to be 7' north was seen.

Downloaded from http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/ at Serials Section, Dixson Library on June 7, 2015

2124. H.'s description is "eeF, pS, E, r," while I called it simply "F, S." However, I noticed a star of mag. 14 just south, and one of mag. 13 40" further south. A rude representation of the nebula, which I drew, makes it elongated at 180°. Its position is 5^h 53^m 33^s , -20° 5' 6. ift. This is in Swift's List No. 6. Its position is

- $5^{\rm h}$ $53^{\rm m}$ $43^{\rm s}$, -23° 11'.4. Swift. This is in Swift's List No. 6. Its position is $5^{\rm h}$ $56^{\rm m}$ $59^{\rm s}$, $-23^{\rm c}$ $40' \cdot 5$.
- 2179. h. described this as "vmE." I looked carefully for elongation, and could perceive none. Two 12 mag. stars flank the nebula, on opposite sides; perhaps h. thought them to be portions of the nebula.

2206. This contains a 13.5 mag. double star, whose angle is 80°, and distance 10".

This is binuclear at 260°; the following nucleus is 2207. the brighter.

2211. The position is 6^h 14^m 8^s , -18° 29'8.

- This seems to be the brightest point in a large nebulous region, which covers the entire background of the sky in this vicinity, though not with even brilliancy. Swift described it as a part of a ring surrounding a This appearance I could not verify, possibly because my field of view is much smaller than his. The position is $6^h 25^m 21^s$, $+5^{\circ} 4' 9$. 2280. h. described this as "IE." It appeared to me to be
- very narrow, and elongated at 160°, the southern end being extremely faint, and terminating at or near a star of mag. 13.5. But the definition was poor when I observed it, so that I may have missed faint lateral nebulosity, which would make the nebula appear less narrow.
- This nebula precedes the double 2292-3, instead of following it. The note in the N.G.C. should read, "D neb f." In other particulars the N.G.C. descriptive notes on these nebulæ agree with my observations. The position of 2295 is $6^{\rm h}$ $43^{\rm m}$ $23^{\rm s}$, -26° 37' 6.
- 2292. The position is 6^{h} 43^{m} 39^{s} , -26° 38' 1. 2293. The position is 6^{h} 43^{m} 42^{s} , -26° 38' 6. 2296. The position is 6^{h} 44^{m} 12^{s} , -16° 47' 3. . 2293.
- (454). One or two stars are involved in this nebula. position is 6^{h} 45^{m} 28^{s} , $+13^{\circ}$ 2' 4.
- 2325. h. called this "IE." To me it appeared round, with two 13 mag. stars near by, on opposite sides of it, at angles of 160° and 340° respectively.
- 2327. The double star involved in this very faint nebula is of mags. 9 and 12, at an angle of 110°, and distance
- (468).This nebula of Bigourdan's is supposed to precede 2361 by a little over 1^m. But I could not find any such object, though I examined the vicinity on three nights.

2359 and 2361. In the N.G.C. 2359 is called "vv L," but there is apparently an error of 1^m in its R.A. When Bigourdan discovered 2361, he probably thought it different from 2359, because the R.A. which he obtained was 1^m different. 2361 is really a small condensation in 2359. I examined these objects on three nights, one of which was exceptionally fine.

I searched for this on two nights (on one of which the definition was good) in vain; on each occasion I saw 2380, which has a similar description, and was an easy

object. I called it "pB, with good nucleus."

2409. This consists of ten scattered stars.

2438. In the N.G.C. this is described as a planetary nebula. I found it to be nearly uniform in brightness, but darker in the centre. It contains two stars of mags. 13 and 14 respectively, and many more were suspected. It is slightly elliptical at 135°. It lies in the elegant cluster 2437, and is one of the prettiest objects in the sky.

2440. In the N.G.C. this is described as a planetary nebula, which is not very well defined. I found it to be binuclear at 160°. There is also a very faint condensation at the preceding end of the nebula. The object is small,

greenish, and very bright.

2470. The elongation is at 120°. A double star of mag. 9,

angle 220°, and distance 3", precedes it 11s, 1'4 north.
2491. Swift calls this "eeF," and puts it 15s preceding 2496, and following a "B *." 2496 was easily found near the place assigned for it; the "B * " appeared to be of mag. 10, and 2491, after careful scrutiny on a fine night, resolved itself into a few stars of mag. 14.

2496. My description tallies with Swift's, except that he says "* close f," while I found a star of mag. 11, 3s

preceding.

(487).Swift described this as round; it is elongated at 110°. h. corrected his N.P.D. of this object by -10', to make it agree with that found by Harding and H., and the N.G.C. has followed him. As the cluster, when searched for, was evidently not at this N.P.D., I took a single careful reading of the declination circle, which put it 10' farther south. The N.P.D. should therefore be 100° 24′·4 in the N.G.C.

This is described in the N.G.C. as "vF, S, R, gbM." I found it to be extremely small, and elongated at 90°;

it looked quite like a faint close double star.

The N.G.C. description is "vF, cL, er." I found here two objects of mags. 11 and 12 respectively. The brighter one is certainly a very small nebula, or nebulous star. I could not be certain that its nebulosity extended to the 12 mag. star. This object was examined on three nights, two of which were fine.

The 7.5 mag. star near this nebula is Schjellerup 3139, the catalogue position of which agrees with the circlereadings of my instrument; there is no other bright star Therefore the N.G.C. place of the nebula is erroneous. The correct position is 8^h 28^m

Downloaded from http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/ at Serials Section, Dixson Library on June 7, 2015

 $42^{\rm s}$, -14° 53'.8. 2616. Swift called this "R." To me it appeared elongated at 180°, having the appearance of a very faint nebulous double star, having a distance of 10".

I searched in vain for this, in the place given in the N.G.C., on three nights, and finally found it 10' north of its supposed location. The star mentioned by h is of mag. 13, and precedes 2s, a trifle north. The position of the nebula is 8h 40m 52s, -14° 45'4.

The position is $8^h 47^m 35^s$, $-2^{\circ} 13' \cdot 6$.

in the neighbourhood.

- 2848. In measuring this I bisected the brightest spot in it; perhaps that is 2847. Dreyer saw a star of mag. 11-12, 3' n.f.; I saw two stars there, n.f. the brightest part of the nebula, near its edge, and possibly involved
- The position is $9^h 38^m 23^s$, $-9^\circ 17'3$. 2978.

3143.

The position is $10^h 5^m 10^s$, $-12^\circ 5' 3$. The elongation is at 180° . The position is $10^h 30^m 33^s$, −5° 39′·6.

The main body of the nebula appeared to lie about 15" from the n.p. star (of mag. 12) which Leavenworth noted, at an angle of 135°. I was not sure that the nebulosity extended clear up to the star; I observed it on two nights. The position is 10^h 33^m 52^s, -11° 7'.4.

I searched for this on two evenings without success. On each evening 3321 was seen. Their descriptions are similar, and their right ascensions agree fairly; I am inclined to think them the same, though Common's approximate declination for 3322 differs from mine for 3321 by over 15'. There is a like discrepancy between his observations and mine in the case of his pair 3360-1.

3360 and 3361. I found the declination of 3361 to be -10° 40'0, which is 14' greater than Common's estimate. It is much elongated at 160°; a star of mag. 13 precedes it a trifle, and a 10 mag. star, which was suspected to be a close double at 130°, follows it several seconds, on nearly the same parallel. 3360 is round and very faint. It precedes 3361 about 108, 1' or 2' south. The R.A. of 3361 is between 10^h 39^m 25^s and 10^h 30^m 30^s.

The position given in the N.G.C. for this Common nebula is only approximate. I had only faint suspicions of a nebula in that position, and found a nebula near by, which, as it is pretty bright, and much elongated at 90°, I assume to be 3404. Its position is 10^h 45^m 20^s ,

 $-11^{\circ}34'\cdot7.$

3421 and 3422. I could see nothing in the N.G.C. places for these Common nebulæ, on either of two nights. I found one very faint, round, and small nebula at 10^h 46^m os, —11° 55'·1. The position of this was measured on two nights. A star of 12 mag. follows it about 4^s. On the first night I suspected an extremely faint nebula 1'·5 north of it. On the second night I suspected another preceding the known nebula 12^s, 2' south, but the definition was poor, and it may have been a star.

3546. The position is $11^h 4^m 47^s$, $-12^\circ 50'$ 1.

of which its neighbour 3707 was very easily seen and measured. It was called "pB" on one of the nights. I saw also the 9 mag. star which is said to be "2' ssf" 3704. Yet both Tempel and Common call 3704 "vF," the same designation which they apply to 3707. Is it possible that 3704 is variable?

3711. The position is $11^{h} 24^{m} 22^{s}$, $-10^{\circ} 31' 3$.

3779 and (717). These nebulæ may be identical, since each discoverer obtained only an approximate place of his nebula. I saw with assurance only one nebula, though I suspected another between it and 3775. The position is

 $10^{\rm h}$ $33^{\rm m}$ $47^{\rm s}$, -10° 1'.7.

4038 and 4039. This is a remarkable double nebula. 4038 seems the larger. 4039 is elongated at 220° in comet fashion. 4038 has a faint condensation near its centre; two other condensations were suspected preceding and following it. Both are very diffuse, and at times their outlines appear to meet. The definition was only fair when these were examined.

4263 and 4265. I saw only one nebula here. It appeared to be elongated at 90°. I sometimes suspected that this elongation might be the result of duplicity, but the object was very diffuse, and gave no certain indication of doubling.

4722 and 4723. The N.G.C. place for these is only approximate, and Tempel evidently considered them as constituting a double nebula, each component being vF, vS. I found only one nebula, which was followed at an interval of 4^s by a star of mag. 11.5. The position of the nebula is 12^h 46^m 19^s , -12° 47' 1.

4726. The N.G.C. place of this nebula of Tempel's seems to be considerably out, both in R.A. and declination.

The correct position is 12^h 46^m 18^s , -13° $40'\cdot 6$.

1 discovered. I could not find 4740, but found a nebula approximately 15' south and 20s preceding, which tallied with Swift's description of 4740; (this is not the new one mentioned above). This entire region deserves careful

Downloaded from http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/ at Serials Section, Dixson Library on June 7, 2015

observation with a large telescope, because of the presumed errors in the places of 4726 and 4740.

Swift. In Swift's List No. 8, published in the 1898 March number of the *Monthly Notices*, is a nebula at 14^h 6^m 50^s, -30° 3′ 33″. Though he called it only "F," I saw nothing in this place, the nearest object being 5494, which is in the same field. They may be identical.

Swift. This is No. 25 in Swift's List No. 8 just mentioned. Its position is 14^h 28^m 39^s, -27° 4'8.

(1077). The position is $14^{\text{h}} 51^{\text{m}} 43^{\text{s}}$, $-18^{\circ} 48' 6$.

(1081). The position is 14^h 53^m 16^s , -18° 50'4.

5898. This has a fine nucleus. Its position is 15^{h} 12^{m} 22^{s} , -23° 43'.

(1115). Swift's description is "eeF, S, R, pB * sf." I found only a double star of mags. 12.5 and 13.5, with angle 315°, and distance 5". A star of mag. 8.5 follows 7s, 2'.5 south. The night was clear and the definition fair.

5926. The position is 15^{h} 18^{m} 41^{s} , $+13^{\circ}$ 4'3.

6065 and 6066. Dreyer's note on these nebulæ, on p. 227 of vol. li. of the *Memoirs of the R.A.S.*, leads me to give their true positions. 6065 is at 16^h 2^m 45^s, + 14° 9'3. 6066 is at 16^h 2^m 57^s, + 14° 12'7.

6224. The position is 16^{h} 43^{m} 26^{s} , $+6^{\circ}$ 29'·4.

6225. A double, of 13 mag. stars, is involved; its angle is 90°, and distance 10". The position is 16^h 43^m 29^s, +6° 24'.o.

6294. This follows 6293 closely, and appears to be simply a very faint double star of mags. 13 and 13.5, with an angle of 315°, and distance of 8".

(1243). This was examined on two nights. It consists of five 12-14 mag. stars in a line, at an angle of o°, the length of the line being 45". A star of mag. 14 immediately precedes the northern end of the row.

6309. This is a close double nebula, at an angle of 160°. Both objects are extremely small, and are also bright.

6355. This appeared to be an extremely faint small round cluster of very small stars, having many outliers on the north, and some on the south also.

6401. This has a nucleus of mag. 13.5, at an angle of 315° from the 10.8 mag. star, which lies on the south following border of the nebula.

6476. I examined this region for quite a while, on a fine night. Large areas appeared to have a nebulous background, or else to be covered with myriads of very minute stars; I could not decide which was the case. I came across a small but striking "Loch im Himmel," at 17^h 55^m os±, -28° 35'±.

6526. This appeared to me not to be a nebula, but simply an aggregation of very faint stars.

- (1290). This is simply a cluster of half a dozen small stars from mag. 12 down.
- The cluster in this nebula is composed of five stars of 6717. mag. 12. The southernmost two form a double of angle o° and distance 3". Two others form another double of angle 340° and distance 2". The nebulosity surrounding these is very faint and formless.
- Swift. In Swift's List No. 2, at 19^h 22^m 0^s, -36° 24'·1, there is an object which he described as "B, eS, vE, stellar, close nebulous D*?." In this position I found nothing, but about 20s preceding it there seemed to be a close double, the star being elongated at 100°.

6797. This was discovered by Peters, and was described as "a neb. with 9 mag. * att. f." I could see no trace of nebulosity, but the 9 mag. * was preceded by a double of

mag. 13, angle 180°, and distance 10".

6816. In this is a star of mag. 13.5. h. noted a " \star np." I saw only a star of mag. 14 at an angle of 20° and distance of 30". The sky was dull, so that the nebula was difficult to measure.

List of Nebulæ discovered at the Chamberlin Observatory, University Park, Colorado. By Herbert A. Howe.

(Communicated by the Secretaries.)

While observing nebulæ and comets with the 20-inch refractor, I have from time to time chanced upon new nebulæ, a list of which follows. The positions of all, with one exception, have been micrometrically measured, and are given for 1900.

```
R.A.
No.
       Date.
                                Dec.
                                                        Description.
       1895.
    Jan.
                             + 5 13.7
                                        eF, S, bet st 12m and 13m
 I
                 0 48 17
           15
                                        11<sup>m</sup> nebulous *. 9<sup>m</sup> * p 10<sup>8</sup>, 4'-5 n.
 2
           15
                               5 13.9
                 0 49 32
                            +
    June 24
                 0 53 41
                             + 0 2.8
                                        vF, cS, R, lbM.
 3
    July
                 1 21 41
                                         12m nebulous *. FD * 2' nf.
 4
            3
                               4
                                   1.3
       1898.
                             -15 53.8
    Jan.
                 4 18
                                       eF, vS. Near N.G.C. 1561-5.
 5
           14
                        7
                                        vF, S. Near N.G.C. 1954 and 1957.
 6
    Feb.
           22
                 5 27 54
                            -13 59.9
 7
           11
                 6 12 14
                            -21 20.4
                                        eF, pS, f N.G.C. 2207 7.
 8
           16
                 8 14 31
                            -25 3.4
                                        pB, vS, R, lbM, 6' n of N.G.C. 2566.
           22
                 8 21 37
                            -1258.5
                                        F, vS, elongated at 90°, 1st of 3.
 9
10
           22
                 8 21 44
                             -1258.7
                                        eF, vS, 2nd of 3.
                                        vF, vS, 3rd of 3.
                 8 21 45
                             -1257.9
11
           22
                            -1459.4
                                         vF, eS, lE.
     Mar. 23
                 8 41 30
12
                                         F, vS, R, 10' s of N.G.C. 2754, 2757,
                 9 0 58
                             -1848.4
     Feb.
           23
13
                                              and 2758.
    Apr. 25
                11 10 32 -13 37.5
                                         eF. S.
                                        F, S, R, n of 11<sup>m</sup> *.
                12\ 45\ 44 \pm -13\ 56
15
           20
       1897.
16
    Oct.
                            -23 17.1
                                         eF, vS, diffic.
           19
                21
                    3 40
                                         eeF, S, diffic.
    Sept. 30
                            -2252.7
                                                          In field with N.G.C.
                21 34 15
                                              7103 and 7104.
                                                               Another susp.
                                         eF, vS, f N.G.C. 7220 63°.
18
                            -23 27.1
                     7
                                        eF, eS, nearly stell., 13<sup>m</sup>; 9.5<sup>m</sup>, 5' n.
    Sept. 27
                23 15 30
                            -2241.8
19
           28
                             -12 24.6
                                        vF, vS, f 9^{\circ}5^{m} \times I^{s}.
20
                23 18 55
                23 29 28
                             - 5 5.2
                                         nebulous * 10.5m.
                                                                 Possibly close
21
           14
                                                 *.
                                                       Extended
                                                                     nebulosity
                                             susp. at 135° and 315°.
                23 46 20
                           -13 562 F, vS, R, bM = \times 12.5<sup>m</sup>.
```

-S S 2